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#### Abstract

The hydroxo-complexes $\left[\left(\mathrm{PdR}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)(\mu-\mathrm{OH})\right)_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{Cl}_{5}\right)$ have been obtained by reaction of the corresponding $\left[\left\{\mathrm{PdR}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})\right\}_{2}\right]$ complexes with $\mathrm{NBu}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ in acetone. In this solvent, the reaction of the hydroxo-bridged complexes with pyrazole ( Hpz ) and 3,5-dimethylpyrazole ( Hdmpz ) in $1: 2$ molar ratio leads to the formation of the new complexes $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Pd}_{( }\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)(\mu \text {-azolate })\right\}_{2}\right]$ and $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{Cl}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}_{2}(\mu\right.$ - OH$)(\mu$-azolate $\left.)\right]$ (azolate $=\mathrm{pz}$ or dmpz). The reaction of the bis $(\mu$-hydroxo) complexes with Hpz and Hdmpz in acetone in $1: 1$ molar ratio has also been studied, and the resulting product depends on the organic radical ( $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ or $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{Cl}_{5}$ ) as well as the azolate ( pz or dmpz). The identity of the isomer obtained has been established in every case by NMR ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ ) spectroscopy. The reaction of the bis ( $\mu$-hydroxo) complexes with oxalic ( $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Ox}$ ) and acetic ( HOAc ) acids yields the binuclear complexes [ $\left.\left(\mathrm{PdR}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right)_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{Ox})\right]\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{Cl}_{5}\right)$ and $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)(\mu-\mathrm{OAc}) \mathrm{l}_{2}\right.\right.$ ], respectively. $\left.\left[\left(\mathrm{Pd}_{\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)(\mu-\mathrm{OH})\right\}_{2}\right]$ reacts with $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ in acetone in $1: 2$ ratio giving the mononuclear complex trans$\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{OH})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$, whereas the pentachlorophenylhydroxo complex does not react with $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, even under forcing conditions.


## 1. Introduction

The recent interest in the chemistry of late transi-tion-metal hydroxides is due to their relevance to some catalytic organic syntheses, in which they are believed to be intermediates [1]. The syntheses of a number of hydroxo-bridged binuclear nickel, palladium, and platinum complexes of the types $\left.\left[\mathrm{MR}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{OH})\right]_{2}\right]^{2-}(\mathrm{M}=$ $\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)$ [2], $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right.$ [3], $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{Cl}_{5}$ [4] or $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{3}-2,4,6$ [5]) or $\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right.$ [6]) have recently been reported and their chemical behaviour towards some electrophiles has been studied. Some nonorganometallic hydroxo-bridged binuclear complexes have also been reported [7,8]. Although some nickel complexes of the type $\left[\{\operatorname{NiLR}(\mu-\mathrm{OH}))_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{PMe}_{3}(\mathrm{R}\right.$ $=\mathrm{Me}$ [9], $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-$ o-Me [10], $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{CMe}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ [11]), or $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CClCCl}_{2}\right)$ [12]] have been described, no binuclear uncharged hydroxopalladium complex is known.

The aim of the present work was to obtain a better knowledge of the chemistry of the binuclear hydroxocomplexes of palladium. For this purpose new hydroxo-bridged binuclear palladium(II) complexes

[^0]containing two different ligands trans to the OH bridges have now been prepared and their reactions with azoles, acetic and oxalic acids, and triphenylphosphine have been studied. A preliminary report of this work has been published [13].

## 2. Results and discussion

The metathesis of chloride by hydroxide in the corresponding chloro-bridged complexes leads to the formation of the bis( $\mu$-hydroxo) complexes I and II, according to eqn. (1).

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left[\left\{\mathrm{Pd}_{\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)}\right) \mathrm{R}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})\right\}_{2}\right]+2 \mathrm{NBu}_{4} \mathrm{OH} \rightarrow \\
& \left.\quad\left[\left\{\mathrm{Pd}_{( } \mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right) \mathrm{R}(\mu-\mathrm{OH})\right\}_{2}\right]+2 \mathrm{NBu}_{4} \mathrm{Cl} \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

$\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ (I) or $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{Cl}_{5}$ (II)
This method has previously been used for the preparation of other palladium and platinum hydroxo complexes [4,6,14].

Both complexes were characterized by partial elemental analyses and spectroscopic data (Tables 1 and 2). The IR spectra show the bands attributed to $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ (1500, 1450, 1050, 950 and $800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) [15] and $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{Cl}_{5}$ ( $1315,1285,1220,830,670$ and $610 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) [16], respectively. The bands at $800\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)$ and $830\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{Cl}_{5}\right) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ are derived from the so-called "X-sensitive" mode [15]

TABLE 1. Analytical data, yields and physical properties for the palladium complexes

| Complex | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M.p. }{ }^{\text {a }} \\ & \left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Analysis (\%) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | Selected IR bands $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)^{\text {c }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | C | H | N | X-sensitive | Others |
| I | 173 | $\begin{gathered} 52.8 \\ (52.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.4 \\ (2.9) \end{gathered}$ |  | 790 | 3600 ( $\nu(\mathrm{OH})$ ) |
| II | 278 | $\begin{array}{r} 45.9 \\ (45.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.2 \\ (2.5) \end{gathered}$ |  | 840 | 3620 ( $\nu(\mathrm{OH})$ ) |
| III | 292 | $\begin{gathered} 53.4 \\ (53.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.6 \\ (3.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.6 \\ (4.6) \end{gathered}$ | 790 |  |
| IV | 287 | $\begin{gathered} 55.9 \\ (55.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.2 \\ (3.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.0 \\ (4.4) \end{gathered}$ | 780 |  |
| V | 317 | $\begin{gathered} 47.2 \\ (46.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.5 \\ (2.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.0 \\ (2.1) \end{gathered}$ | 835 | $3600(\nu(\mathrm{OH})$ ) |
| VI | 280 | $\begin{gathered} 48.5 \\ (47.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.5 \\ (2.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.2 \\ (2.1) \end{gathered}$ | 840 | $3620(\nu)(\mathrm{OH})$ ) |
| VII | 246 | $\begin{gathered} 53.7 \\ (53.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.4 \\ (3.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.7 \\ (2.4) \end{gathered}$ | 790 | $3600(\nu)(\mathrm{OH})$ ) |
| IX | 311 | $\begin{gathered} 46.7 \\ (46.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.7 \\ (2.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.0 \\ (2.1) \end{gathered}$ | 835 | $3600(\nu)(\mathrm{OH})$ ) |
| XI | 265 | $\begin{gathered} 51.0 \\ (51.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.7 \\ (2.6) \end{gathered}$ |  | 800 | $\begin{aligned} & 1605\left(\nu_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2^{-}}\right)\right) \\ & 1360\left(\nu_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2^{-}}\right)\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| XII | 301 | $\begin{gathered} 45.2 \\ (45.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.4 \\ (2.3) \end{gathered}$ |  | 840 | $\begin{aligned} & 1610\left(\nu_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2^{-}}\right)\right) \\ & 1365\left(\nu_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2^{-}}\right)\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| XIII | 185 | $\begin{gathered} 52.4 \\ (52.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.3 \\ (3.1) \end{gathered}$ |  | 790 | $\begin{aligned} & 1580\left(\nu_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}-\right)\right) \\ & 1420\left(\nu_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}-\right)\right) \end{aligned}$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ With decomposition. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Calculated values in parentheses. ${ }^{c}$ Nujol mulls.
and behave like $\nu(\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C})$ bands. A medium band at $3610-3600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is assigned to the stretching mode of the OH bridges. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR data listed in Table 2 show unambiguously that I and II exist in chloroform solution exclusively as the trans isomers (Scheme 1), since the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectra exhibit a unique high-field resonance for the OH groups consisting of a doublet arising from coupling to ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ of the phosphine trans to OH . No coupling to ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ of the phosphine cis to OH is observed. The ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ spectrum of complex I shows the expected NMR pattern for two equivalent $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ groups and the magnitude of $J(\mathrm{PF})$ for the ortho-fluorine is consistent with the values found in other palladium complexes containing the cis- $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ (phosphine) arrangement [17].

The reaction of the bis(hydroxo) complexes $\left[\left\{\mathrm{MR}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{OH})\right\}_{2}\right]^{2-}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{Pd}$, or Pt$)$ with protic electrophiles (HL or HLL) has previously been used for the synthesis of complexes of the types $\left[\left[\mathrm{MR}_{2}(\mu-\right.\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{L}\}_{2}\right]^{2-},\left[\left\{\mathrm{MR}_{2}\right\}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{OH})(\mu-\mathrm{L})\right]^{2-}$, and $\left[\mathrm{MR}_{2}(\mathrm{LL})\right]^{-}$ [2,3,18-21]. Of the protic electrophiles, azoles have been most used because they produce the very versatile azolate anions on deprotonation, and these can act as mono- or bi-dentate ligands [22]. When complex I was treated with pyrazoles ( Hpz ) and 3,5-dimethylpyrazole ( Hdmpz ) in acetone in 1:2 molar ratio, it yielded the corresponding azolate-bridged complexes $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)\right.\right.$ -
$\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)(\mu$-azolate $\left.\left.)\right\}_{2}\right]$ (azolate $=\mathrm{pz}$ (III) or dmpz (IV)). If the $C_{2 h}$ symmetry is assigned to complex III (Scheme 1 ), a set of three signals arising from $3-, 4-$ and $5-\mathrm{H}$ atoms of the pz ring should be observed in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectrum (the $C_{2 v}$ isomer, with a long cis arrangement of the phosphines, should give two sets of signals, each consisting of two peaks with relative intensities of 2 (3and $5-\mathrm{H}): 1(4-\mathrm{H})$ ) [23-25]. The observed spectrum (Table 2) shows two peaks at $\delta 6.25$ and 5.57 assigned to $3-$ and $4-\mathrm{H}$, respectively, but a further peak was suspected to be masked by the multiplet signal from the ortho- and para-hydrogen atoms of the phenyl rings since its relative intensity ( 20 H ) is greater than the theoretical value of 18 H . This was confirmed when a ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY experiment was performed; a third resonance at $\delta 7.37$ (for $5-\mathrm{H}$ ) correlated to the 5.57 ppm signal. The signals from 3- and 4-H appear as a pseudotriplet and a pseudoquartet, respectively, due to coupling to the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ nucleus of the phosphine trans to the pyrazolate ring and the accidental coincidence of the coupling constants $\left(J\left(\mathrm{PH}^{3}\right) \approx J\left(\mathrm{PH}^{4}\right) \approx J\left(\mathrm{H}^{3} \mathrm{H}^{4}\right) \approx\right.$ $J\left(\mathrm{H}^{4} \mathrm{H}^{5}\right)=1.5-2.1 \mathrm{~Hz} ; J\left(\mathrm{H}^{3} \mathrm{H}^{5}\right)=0$ ). On irradiation of the $4-\mathrm{H}$ peak, the original pseudotriplet from $3-\mathrm{H}$ was transformed into a well-resolved doublet ( $J\left(\mathrm{PH}^{3}\right)$ $=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) and one of the peaks of the phenyl multiplet was affected. On irradiation of the signal at ca. 7.37 ppm ( $5-\mathrm{H}$ ), the peaks from 3- and $4-\mathrm{H}$ were seen as pseudotriplets.

The dmpz complex IV showed one ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ resonance and two different signals for the methyl protons of the dmpz ligand, but the presence of only one signal for the $4-\mathrm{H}$ of the dmpz ring suggests that the trans

(I) (II)

+ 2 azole

$\mathbf{R}^{3}=\mathbf{R}^{5}=\mathrm{H}$ (pz) (III)
$\mathbf{R}^{3}=\mathbf{R}^{5}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}(\mathrm{dmpz})(\mathbf{I V})$

Scheme 1.

TABLE 2. NMR data ( $J$ in Hz ) for the palladium complexes (in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

| Complex | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \delta\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{4}\right)$ | ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P} \delta\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)$ | ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F} \delta\left(\mathrm{CFCl}_{3}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 7.6 (m, $12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}$ of Ph ) | $30.5(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}(\mathrm{PFO})=9.9)$ | $\begin{aligned} & -117.2\left(\mathrm{dd}, 4 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}}, J(\mathrm{om})=22.0,\right. \\ & J(\mathrm{PFo})=9.9) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 7.4\left(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{p}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{m}} \text { of } \mathrm{Ph}\right) \\ & -1.58(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}, J(\mathrm{PH})=3.4) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & -161.2\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{p}}, J(\mathrm{mp})=19.8\right) \\ & -163.9\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| II | $\begin{aligned} & 7.7\left(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}} \text { of } \mathrm{Ph}\right) \\ & 7.3\left(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{p}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{m}} \text { of } \mathrm{Ph}\right) \\ & -1.66(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}, J(\mathrm{PH})=2.2) \end{aligned}$ | 28.4 (s) |  |
| III ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.4-7.3\left(\mathrm{~m}, 20 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{p}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}} \text { of } \mathrm{Ph}\right. \\ & \text { and } 5-\mathrm{H} \text { of } \mathrm{pz} \text { ) } \\ & 7.08\left(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{m}} \text { of } \mathrm{Ph}\right. \text { ) } \\ & 6.25 \text { (pseudo } \mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{H} \text { of } \mathrm{pz} \text {. } \\ & 5.57 \text { (pseudo } \mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{H} \text { of } \mathrm{pz} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | 24.9 (s) | $\begin{aligned} & -113.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}}, J(\mathrm{om})=25.9\right) \\ & -119.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}}, J(\mathrm{om})=28.5\right) \\ & -161.8\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{p}}, J(\mathrm{mp})=20.0\right) \\ & -162.7\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \\ & -163.2\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| IV | $\begin{aligned} & 7.2-7.0(\mathrm{~m}, 30 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}) \\ & 5.32(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{H} \text { of dmpz) } \\ & 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{Me} \text { of dmpz}) \\ & 1.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 5-\mathrm{Me} \text { of dmpz) } \end{aligned}$ | 17.1 (s) | $\begin{aligned} & -104.7\left(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}}\right) \\ & -114.8\left(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}}\right) \\ & -163.2\left(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{m}}+2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{p}}\right) \\ & -165.1\left(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| V | $7.46\left(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}\right.$ of Ph$)$ <br> $7.30\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{p}}\right.$ of Ph$)$ <br> $7.13\left(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{m}}\right.$ of Ph$)$ <br> 6.64 (dd, $2 \mathrm{H}, 3$ - and $5-\mathrm{H}$ <br> of $\mathrm{pz}, J(\mathrm{HH}) \approx J(\mathrm{PH})=2.0)$ <br> 5.91 ( $\mathrm{tt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{H}$ of pz , $\begin{gathered} J(\mathrm{HH})=2.0, J(\mathrm{PH})=1.8) \\ -2.96(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}, J(\mathrm{PH})=1.7) \end{gathered}$ | 26.6 (s) |  |
| VI | $\begin{aligned} & 7.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}} \text { of } \mathrm{Ph}\right) \\ & 7.26\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{p}} \text { of } \mathrm{Ph}\right) \\ & 7.05\left(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{m}} \text { of } \mathrm{Ph}\right) \\ & 5.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{H} \text { of dmpz }) \\ & 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{and} 5-\mathrm{Me} \text { of dmpz }) \\ & -3.09(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}, J(\mathrm{PH})=1.7) \end{aligned}$ | 28.0 (s) |  |
| VII | $\begin{aligned} & 7.33\left(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}} \text { of } \mathrm{Ph}\right) \\ & 7.23\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}} \text { of } \mathrm{Ph}\right) \\ & 7.10\left(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{m}} \text { of } \mathrm{Ph}\right) \\ & 6.54(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 3-\text { and } 5-\mathrm{H} \text { of pz, } \\ & J(\mathrm{HH}) \approx J(\mathrm{PH})=2.0) \\ & 5.88(\mathrm{tt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{H} \text { of } \mathrm{pz} \\ & J(\mathrm{HH})=2.0 ; J(\mathrm{PH})=1.8) \\ & -2.57(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}, J(\mathrm{PH})=2.0) \end{aligned}$ | $28.5(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}(\mathrm{PFo})=8.6)$ | $\begin{aligned} & -117.3\left(\mathrm{dd}, 4 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}}, J(\mathrm{om})=28.2,\right. \\ & J(\mathrm{PFo})=8.6) \\ & -161.5\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{p}}, J(\mathrm{mp})=19.2\right) \\ & -163.4\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| VIII | $\begin{aligned} & 7.7-7.1(\mathrm{~m}, 30 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}) \\ & 6.60(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 5-\mathrm{H} \text { of } \mathrm{pz}, \\ & J(\mathrm{HH}) \approx 2.0) \\ & 6.23(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{H} \text { of } \mathrm{pz}, \\ & J(\mathrm{HH}) \approx 1.7) \\ & 5.55(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{H} \text { of } \mathrm{pz}) \\ & -0.83(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.4 \text { (s) } \\ & 33.3 \text { (s) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -117.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}}, J(\mathrm{om})=31.6\right) \\ & -119.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}}, J(\mathrm{om})=30.2\right) \\ & -162.5(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{Fp}, J(\mathrm{mp})=20.6) \\ & -164.6\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| IX | $\begin{aligned} & 7.6-7.1(\mathrm{~m}, 30 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}) \\ & 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 5-\mathrm{H} \text { of } \mathrm{pz}, \\ & J(\mathrm{HH})=2.0) \\ & 6.27(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{H} \text { of } \mathrm{pz}, \\ & J(\mathrm{HH})=1.6) \\ & 5.56(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{H} \text { of } \mathrm{pz}) \\ & -0.79(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.0(\mathrm{~s}) \\ & 25.2 \text { (s) } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| X | $\begin{aligned} & 7.6-7.1(\mathrm{~m}, 30 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}) \\ & 7.05(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{H} \text { and } 5-\mathrm{H} \text { of pz) } \\ & 6.16(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{H} \text { and } 5-\mathrm{H} \\ & \text { of } \mathrm{pz}, J(\mathrm{HH})=1.9) \\ & 5.86(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{H} \text { of } \mathrm{pz}) \\ & 5.44(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{H} \text { of pz, } \\ & J(\mathrm{HH})=1.9) \end{aligned}$ | 23.3 (s) | $\begin{aligned} & -116.9\left(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}}\right) \\ & -119.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}}, J(\mathrm{om})=27.1\right) \\ & -161.9\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{p}}, J(\mathrm{mp})=20.0\right) \\ & -162.7\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \\ & -163.8\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE 2 (Continued)

| Complex | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \delta\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{4}\right)$ | ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P} \boldsymbol{\delta}\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)$ | ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F} \delta\left(\mathrm{CFCl}_{3}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| XI | 7.6-7.2 (m, $30 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}$ ) | $30.3(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}(\mathrm{PFo})=12.4)$ | $\begin{aligned} & -120.5\left(\mathrm{dd}, 4 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}}, J(\mathrm{om})=22.0,\right. \\ & J(\mathrm{PFo})=12.4) \\ & -161.2\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{p}}, J(\mathrm{mp})=19.2\right) \\ & -164.3\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| XII | 7.6-7.2 (m, 30 H, Ph) | 27.9 (s) |  |
| XIII | $7.6-7.2(\mathrm{~m}, 30 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph})$ | 28.2 (s) | -117.2 (br, $4 \mathrm{Fa}_{\mathrm{o}}$ ) |
|  | 1.89 (br, 6 H, OAc) |  | $-160.8\left(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{p}}\right.$ ) |
|  |  |  | -163.4 (br, $4 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ) |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ See text for discussion of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum.
configuration (Scheme 1) should also be assigned to this complex. The two signals observed in the orthoand meta-fluorine regions of the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ spectra of III and IV indicate that the rotation of the $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ groups around the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$ bond is hindered.

The reaction of complex II with Hpz and Hdmpz in 1:2 molar ratio always led to the corresponding complexes $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Pd}_{( }\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{Cl}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right)_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{OH})(\mu\right.$-azolate $\left.)\right]$ (azolate $=\mathrm{pz}(\mathbf{V})$ or $\mathrm{dmpz}(\mathbf{V I})$ which were formulated as shown in Scheme 1, with the two $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{Cl}_{5}$ groups cis to the OH bridge. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR pattern observed in the spectra of complexes $\mathbf{V}$ and $\mathbf{V I}$ is consistent with this geometri-
cal arrangement; a triplet due to the OH bridge with two phosphines trans to it and two signals with relative intensities of $1: 2$ (pz complex) or $1: 6$ (dmpz complex). The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ spectra show a unique resonance which is also consistent with the cis configuration. Attempts to prepare the bis( $\mu$-azolate) complexes similar to III and IV were unsuccessful.

The reactions of complexes I and II with pyrazole and 3,5-dimethylpyrazole in 1:1 molar ratio were also tried (Scheme 2). Complex II gives only the $\mu$-hydroxo-$\mu$-azolate complexes, VI and IX. Complex IX was identified by NMR spectroscopy as the geometrical isomer


[^1]of $\mathbf{V}$ in Scheme 2, since it gives two signals in the ${ }^{31} \mathbf{P}$ spectrum and a set of three ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ signals for the bridging pz. However, in the presence of pyrazole, IX is converted into the isomer $\mathbf{V}$ (Scheme 3) which is the reaction product obtained when the same reaction is carried out in 1:2 molar ratio.

A more complex result is obtained in the reaction of complex I with Hpz under the same conditions. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the isolated solid (see Experimental section) showed that it was a mixture of $\mu$-hydroxo- $\mu$ azolate and bis( $\mu$-azolate) complexes (Scheme 2) which we were not able to separate. However, complex VII could be prepared as a pure compound by a different route, the metathesis of the bridging chlorides in $\left.\left[\left\{\mathrm{Pd}^{( } \mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right) \mathrm{R}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})\right\}_{2}\right]$ by $\mathrm{OH}^{-} / \mathrm{pz}^{-}$, and the same reaction with the pentachlorophenyl analogue yields complex $V$ (Scheme 3). The cis configuration assigned to VII is consistent with its NMR data; only one ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ resonance, a triplet signal for the OH bridge due to coupling to two phosphines trans to it, and the expected two signals (relative intensities of $2: 1$ ) for a symmetrical bridging pyrazolate. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ spectra of VIII show that there are three different protons in the pz ligand, two different phosphines and two different $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ groups. The NMR data of the solid
isolated from the reaction of complex I with Hdmpz indicated the presence of a similar mixture but no attempts were made to study this complex mixture further because the unavailability of a genuine sample of $\mu-\mathrm{OH}-\mu-\mathrm{dmpz}$ complex prevented us from making reliable assignments.

Rather unexpectedly, the reaction of complex VII with pyrazole ( $1: 1$ molar ratio) does not lead to the formation of III as the unique reaction product; instead a mixture of III and the new compound $\mathbf{X}$ is formed (Scheme 3). This mixture could not be resolved but $\mathbf{X}$ was identified by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data in Table 2 show the presence of two different pz ligands in $\mathbf{X}$, the signals at $\delta 7.05$ and 5.86 being assigned to the pz ring trans to the phospine molecules.

A satisfactory interpretation of the experimental results implies the existence of a mechanism for cistrans isomerization of the $\mu$-hydroxo- $\mu$-azolate complexes catalyzed by the azole (Haz). We suggest that the Haz-catalyzed interconversion of both isomers takes place via the monomeric intermediates [ $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Pd}$ (az) $\left.\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)\right]$ (a) and [ $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{az})$ (solvent)] (b) resulting from the protonation of trans- or cis-[ $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Pd}-$ $\left.(\mu-\mathrm{OH})(\mu-\mathrm{az}) \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right) \mathrm{R}\right]$ by Haz. The elimination of Haz from (a) followed by recombination of the two



$$
\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \quad \text { or } \quad \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{Cl}_{5}
$$

(VII)

$$
(\mathbf{v})
$$



Scheme 3.
monomeric fragments gives the cis isomer, but elimination of Haz between (a) and (b) and subsequent recombination yields the trans isomer.


The result is a cis-trans mixture at equilibrium and the identity of the isolated solid will be dependent on the solvent as well as on the $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{Cl}_{5}\right)$ and az.

The failure to observe bis(azolato) complexes with $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{Cl}_{5}$ may be attributed to the greater steric requirement of this group compared to $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$. Both the formation of the cis complexes $\mathbf{V}$ and VI from II and the isomerization of IX to $\mathbf{V}$ are consistent with the suggested mechanism for isomerization, because the bulky $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{Cl}_{5}$ ligand should facilitate the elimination of Haz from the aqua complex (a) and, consequentiy, the formation of the cis isomer. The conversion of VII into III and $\mathbf{X}$ may be explained on the basis of the previous isomerization of VII (cis) to give an approximately equimolecular mixture of VII (cis) and VIII (trans); the
deprotonation of these by Hpz leads to the formation of the corresponding bis(pyrazolato) complexes $\mathbf{X}$ (cis) and III (trans). Similar isomeric cis-trans mixtures have been reported from the conversion of hydroxobridged complexes to amido-bridged diplatinum(II) complexes [25].

The reactions of the di- $\mu$-hydroxo complexes I and II with oxalic acid $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Ox}\right)$ in $1: 1$ molar ratio led to the formation of the corresponding complexes $\left[\left(\mathrm{Pd}^{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right.\right.$ -$\left.\mathrm{R})_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{Ox})\right]\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}(\mathbf{X 1})\right.$, or $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{Cl}_{5}(\mathbf{X 1 1})$ ). Their IR spectra exhibit only two absorptions (Table 1) arising from the asym and sym $\nu$ (OCO) modes of doubly bridging tetradentate oxalate ( $\Delta \nu=245 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) [26]. Accordingly, we propose the structure shown in Scheme 4 for them, which is similar to that found in $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{Me})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{Ox})\right]$ [27]. Similarly, protonation of the OH bridges in complex I by acetic acid gives the bis ( $\mu$-acetato) complex XIII and concomitant release of water (Scheme 4). The value of $\Delta \nu$ ( $\nu_{\text {asym }}-\nu_{s y m}=$ $160 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) for the carboxylate stretching modes appears to be consistent with the presence of bridging bidentate acetate [28].

We also tried the reaction of complex II with acetic acid but the NMR spectra showed that the isolated solids were non-reproducible mixtures of hydroxo and acetato complexes.

Complex I reacts with $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ to give the mononuclear hydroxo complex $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{OH})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ (XIV), which exhibits a unique resonance in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum indicating a trans configuration. This complex was


Scheme 4.
previously prepared by Yoshida et al. starting from trans- $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right][12]$. However, the analogue of XIV with the more steric demanding pentachlorophenyl ligand could not been obtained even working under reflux and with an excess of triphenylphosphine.

## 3. Experimental details

The $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$, and N analyses were carried out with a Perkin-Elmer 240 C microanalyser. Decomposition temperatures were determined on a Mettler TG-50 thermobalance with a heating rate of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$. The spectroscopic instruments used were Perkin-Elmer Model 1430 for IR spectra (Nujol mulls) and Varian Unity 300 or Bruker 200E for NMR spectra. The precursors $\left[\left\{\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right) \operatorname{Pd}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})\right)_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{Cl}_{5}\right)$ were prepared as described in the literature [29]. Solvents were dried by standard techniques before use.

### 3.1. Preparation of complexes I and II

To a suspension of $\left[\left\{\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right) \operatorname{Pd}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})\right\}_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right.$ or $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{Cl}_{5}$ ) ( 0.088 mmol ) in acetone ( $10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added $20 \%\left[\mathrm{NBu}_{4}\right] \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{aq})(0.176 \mathrm{mmol})$, with constant stirring for 30 min . After partial evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure, small portions of methanol were added to precipitate complexes I or II as white solids which were filtered off and dried at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Yields: $70 \%$ and $87 \%$, respectively.

### 3.2. Preparation of complexes III and IV from I

The corresponding azole (Hpz or Hdmpz; 0.180 $\mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of $\mathbf{I}(0.090 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone ( $6 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) with constant stirring for 30 min . The solvent was partially evaporated under vacuum and small portions of water were added to precipitate complexes III and IV as white solids which were filtered off and air-dried. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of complex III showed the presence of a small amount of $\mathbf{X}$, but pure crystals of III were obtained after recrystallization from chloroform/hexane. Yields: $\mathbf{8 0 \%}$ (III) and $90 \%$ (IV).

### 3.3. Preparation of complexes V and VI from II

The corresponding azole ( 0.168 mmol ) was added to a solution of $11(0.084 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane ( 6 $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ). After stirring for 30 min , the solution was concentrated under reduced pressure and addition of hexane caused the precipitation of $\mathbf{V}$ or $\mathbf{V}$ as a white or yellow solid, respectively, which was filtered off and air-dried. Yields: $77 \%$ and $57 \%$, respectively.
3.4. Preparation of complexes V and VII from $[\{R$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Pd}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})\right\}_{2} J\left(R=C_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{Cl}_{5}\right)$

The corresponding chloro-bridged complex ( 0.087 mmol ) was added to a solution of a $0.087: 0.087$ molar
mixture of pyrazolate and $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$(prepared from 0.087 mmol of pyrazole and 0.174 mmol of $\mathrm{NBu}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ ) in acetone ( $6 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ), and the suspension was stirred at room temperature for 30 min . After partial elimination of the solvent under reduced pressure, the white solid was filtered off and air-dried. Yield: $70 \%$ for both compounds.

### 3.5. Reaction of I and II with Hpz and Hdmpz in 1:1 molar ratio

The corresponding azole ( 0.090 mmol ) was added to a solution of I or a suspension of $\mathbf{I I}(0.090 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone ( $5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). The resulting solution was stirred for 30 min . The solvent was evaporated under vacuum and methanol was added ( $2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). The solid was filtered off and air-dried. The results are presented in Scheme 2. Complexes VI (yield 76\%) and IX (yield 77\%) were the only products obtained from the reaction of II with Hpz but the solid isolated from the reaction of I with Hpz (yield 70 mg ) was identified by NMR spectroscopy as a mixture of complexes III, VII and VIII in the ratio $30: 40: 30 \%$, respectively. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectrum of the solid isolated from the reaction of $I$ with Hdmpz (yield 60 mg ) showed the presence of a similar mixture, but individual assignments were not possible.

### 3.6. Reaction of VII with Hpz

Pyrazole ( 0.087 mmol ) was added to a solution of VII ( 0.087 mmol ) in chloroform ( $5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). The resulting solution was stirred for 3 h and concentrated under reduced pressure. The addition of hexane precipitated a white solid ( 80 mg ) which was identified by NMR spectroscopy as a mixture of III and $\mathbf{X}$ ( $55 \%$ and $45 \%$, respectively).

### 3.7. Reaction of $\boldsymbol{X}$ with Hpz

Pyrazole ( 0.045 mmol ) was added to a suspension of complex IX ( 0.045 mmol ) in acetone ( $30 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). The suspension was boiled under reflux for 6 h and the resulting colourless solution was evaporated to dryness. A small amount of methanol was added and the white solid was filtered off and air-dried. Yield: $83 \%$. The solid was identified as complex $\mathbf{V}$.

### 3.8. Preparation of complex XI

Oxalic acid ( 0.054 mmol of the dihydrate) was added to a suspension of $I(0.054 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone ( $6 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). After stirring for 30 min , the solvent was partially evaporated and a small amount of water was added to complete the precipitation of XI as a white solid which was filtered off and air-dried. Yield: $70 \%$.

### 3.9. Preparation of complex XII

Oxalic acid ( 0.066 mmol of the dihydrate) was added to a white suspension of II ( 0.066 mmol ) in acetone ( 15
$\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ). The resulting yellowish suspension was stirred for 2.5 h and then the yellow solid XII was separated by filtration and air-dried. Yield: $71 \%$.

### 3.10. Preparation of complex XIII

Acetic acid ( $0.180 \mathrm{mmol} ; 10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $99.7 \%$ acetic acid) was added to a solution of $I(0.090 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane ( $6 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ), with constant stirring for 30 min. The solution was concentrated under reduced pressure and the addition of hexane resulted in the precipitation of complex XIII as a yellow solid, which was filtered off and air-dried. Yield: $84 \%$.

### 3.11. Reaction of I with $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$

Triphenylphosphine ( 0.108 mmol ) was added to a solution of $I(0.054 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone $\left(6 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The solution was stirred for 30 min and concentrated under reduced pressure. On addition of water, complex XIV precipitated as a white solid which was filtered off and air-dried. Yield: $60 \%$. The experimental data [satisfactory C and H analysis; IR (Nujol, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $3590 \nu(\mathrm{OH})$, 795 (X-sensitive); NMR (solvent $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \delta\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{4}\right)$ $7.63(\mathrm{~m})$ and $7.32(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{Ph},-2.31(\mathrm{br}) \mathrm{OH} ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P} \delta\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)$ $22.81\left(\mathrm{t}, J\left(\mathrm{PF}_{\mathrm{o}}\right) 6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ ] are consistent with those previously reported [12].
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